



ASSESSMENT BASED STUDENT GROWTH MEASURES



ASSESSMENT BASED



PROS

- Less support required for implementation (district/campus)
- Results can be more objective, quantifiable, and comparable between campuses

CONS

- Feedback less insightful at the instructional level
- Ratings based on assessment results (don't include teacher behaviors)
- Assessment-driven process

**District Pre-
and Post
Tests**

&

**Value-Added
Measures**



VALUE-ADDED MODELS

PROS

- Truly measures instructional impact of a teacher
- Data can be compared over time for an individual teacher
- More level playing field to compare teachers
- VAM shown to do the best job of predicting future test scores

CONS


- Could be expensive
- Process and calculation could be very complicated and/or difficult to explain
- Limited to STAAR-tested subjects
- Variables (multiple subject teachers, minimums, absences, mobility)
- Can't keep it random (student pops, etc)

VALUE-ADDED MODELS



1

CONSIDERATIONS

- 
- Detail of the feedback produced
 - What and how much prior testing data is used
 - Ease of calculation or explanation
 - Which tests VAM is calculated with

DISTRICT PRE AND POST TESTS

2

PROS

- Can be inexpensive (district created)
- Comprehensive
- Power of collaboration while developing test questions
- Can be used for electives
- Tests can be more aligned with what is directly being taught and what should be taught

CONS

- Can be expensive (third-party created)
- Many different tests
- Scope, focus, & length
- Difficult to design tests that are comparable at different times
- Developing questions take significant time, skill, & collaboration

**"Although it's
called student
growth, it is
really about
teacher growth."**

